LncRNAs associated with multiple sclerosis expressed in the Th1 cell lineage

Aref Hosseini $^{1*} \, \, \vert \,$ Shohreh Teimuri $^{2\dagger} \, \, \vert \,$ Marzieh Ehsani $^{1} \, \, \vert \,$ Seyed Mohammad Mahdi Rasa¹ | Masoud Etemadifar⁴ | Mohammad Hossein Nasr Esfahani² | Timothy L. Megraw³ | Kamran Ghaedi^{1,2}

1 Division of Cellular and Molecular Biology, Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran

²Department of Cellular Biotechnology, Cell Science Research Center, Royan Institute of Biotechnology, ACECR, Isfahan, Iran

3 Department of Biomedical Sciences, Florida State University, College of Medicine, Tallahassee, Florida

4 Department of Neurology and Isfahan Neurosurgery Research Center, School of Medicine, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

Correspondence

Mohammad Hossein Nasr‐Esfahani and Kamran Ghaedi, Department of Cellular Biotechnology, Cell Science Research Center, Royan Institute for Biotechnology, ACECR, Royan St., Salman St., Khorsagan, Isfahan 816513‐1378, Iran. Email: [mh.nasr-esfahani@royaninstitute.org,](mailto:mh.nasr-esfahani@royaninstitute.org) (M.H.N.); kamranghaedi@royaninstitute.org (K.G.) Timothy L. Megraw, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Florida State University, College of Medicine, West Call Street,

Tallahassee, FL 32306‐4300. Email: timothy.megraw@med.fsu.edu

Current address

*Aref Hosseini Institute of Biochemistry and Molecular Medicine, NCCR TransCure, University of Bern, Bern, CH‐3012, Switzerland. † Shohreh Teimuri, Institute of Cell Biology, University of Bern, Bern, CH‐3012, Switzerland.

Funding information

National Institute for Medical Research Development, Grant/Award Number: 963526

Abstract

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a type of inflammatory and demyelinating disorder of the central nervous system in which immune‐mediated inflammatory processes are elicited by secreted cytokines from T helper (Th)‐1 and Th17 cells. While some protein‐coding genes expressed in T cell types have established involvement in MS disease progression, little is understood about the roles of long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) within the disease landscape. LncRNAs, noncoding RNAs longer than 200 nucleotides, likely control gene expression and function of Th1 cells, and offer the potential to act as therapeutic and biomarker candidates for MS. We identified lncRNAs in Th1 cells linked to MS. Expression levels of candidate lncRNAs and genes were evaluated in 50 MS patients and 25 healthy controls using quantitative realtime polymerase chain reaction, and their correlations were assessed. LncRNAs encoded by AC007278.2 and IFNG‐AS1‐001 showed significantly higher expression in relapsing Phase MS patients whereas IFNG‐AS1‐003 was elevated in patients in the remitting phase compared with relapsing patients. Collectively, these misregulated lncRNAs may provide valuable tools to understand the relationships between lncRNAs and MS, and possibly other related disorders.

KEYWORDS

biomarker, CNS, lncRNA, multiple sclerosis, T helper 1

Aref Hosseini and Shohreh Teimuri contributed equally to this study.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a multifactorial chronic inflammatory disorder which leads to the demyelination of the neuron sheath in the central nervous system (CNS; Ransohoff, Hafler, & Lucchinetti, 2015). The adaptive immune system is hyperactivated in MS, including involvement of T helper 1 (Th1) cells. Myelin‐reactive Th1 cells lacking IL‐17(+) are highly pathogenic, while Th17 cells lacking interferon (IFN)- γ (+) are not pathogenic, and only Th1 cells infiltrate the noninflamed CNS at primary stages of MS onset, while Th17 cells appear in the CNS at later stages (O'Connor et al., 2008). Although the precise involvement of Th1 cells in autoimmunity is not completely understood, identification of therapeutic approaches that specifically target pathogenic Th1 cells with less effect on other immune cells may be an important approach (Christie & Zhu, 2014; Stromnes, Cerretti, Liggitt, Harris, & Goverman, 2008).

Historically, IFN‐γ production was considered as the hallmark of Th1 cells, driving inflammation and autoimmunity (Zhu, Yamane, & Paul, 2009). There is a reduction in serum IFN‐γ levels among IFN‐β treated MS patients and IFN‐β is widely used as a selective drug for MS treatment (Revel, Chebath, Mangelus, Harroch, & Moviglia, 1995). During the differentiation of IFN‐γ‐secreting Th1 cells, IL‐ 12Rβ2 is upregulated and IL‐12 drives the expression of IL‐18Rα (Sareneva, Julkunen, & Matikainen, 2000; Szabo, Dighe, Gubler, & Murphy, 1997; Yoshimoto et al., 1998). The IL18R1 and IL18RAP genes encode the heterodimeric IL-18 receptor (the α and β chains, respectively). The cytokine IL‐18 is a modulator of innate and adaptive immune responses, and acts by inducing Th1 cell differentiation through its receptor (IL‐18R; Gracie, Robertson, & McInnes, 2003; Nakanishi, Yoshimoto, Tsutsui, & Okamura, 2001).

Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) are a special class of transcripts > 200 nucleotides long, with no apparent protein‐coding capacity (Cheetham, Gruhl, Mattick, & Dinger, 2013; Ma, Bajic, & Zhang, 2013). About 50% of protein‐coding genes that are located less than 50 kb away from a lncRNA gene are coexpressed, and this correlation decreases with increasing distance between them (Spurlock et al., 2015). In addition to being candidate therapeutic targets, lncRNAs are a more practical biomarker than proteins or messenger RNAs (mRNAs) as they are more stable in body fluids, more tissue‐specific, and are readily detected by a variety of techniques (Ayers, 2013; Geisler & Coller, 2013; Qi & Du, 2013; Tong & Lo, 2006). LncRNAs participate in a wide range of biological processes whose activities are modulated by lncRNA dose (Lee, 2012; Nagano & Fraser, 2011). Expression of specialized genes are crucial to define cell fate and the unique functions of each cell type, and lineage‐specific lncRNAs are likely to be important factors that impact these activities (Teimuri et al., 2018).

In this study, we identify lncRNAs that are expressed specifically in Th1 cells and which are located less than 50 kb from proteincoding genes involved in Th1 cell differentiation. Hence, we identified candidate lncRNAs potentially involved in MS pathogenesis. We validate the expression levels and MS‐association of these identified lncRNAs and adjacent protein‐coding genes in the PBMC of MS patients.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Data mining

Coding genes of proteins involved in Th1 cell differentiation were retrieved through data mining using the keywords Th1 cell, differentiation, and signaling pathway among published papers up until May 2017 available in PubMed [\(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/) [pubmed/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/)), Science Direct ([https://www.sciencedirect.com/\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/), and Web of Science ([https://clarivate.com/products/web](https://clarivate.com/products/web-of-science)‐of‐science). Next, the Ensembl GRCh37 genome assembly was used to assign chromosomal locations of loci [\(https://grch37.ensembl.org/index.html](https://grch37.ensembl.org/index.html)). Additionally, whole‐genome RNA‐seq data containing Th1 lineage‐specific expressed lncRNAs were used in this study (Spurlock et al., 2015) as well as chromosomal locations of these lncRNAs which were deduced from Ensembl GRCh37.

2.2 | Proximity analysis

Proximities of selected lncRNAs and the respective genes involved in Th1 cell differentiation were checked through python programming language (version 3.6.2 the Python‐RRID:SCR_008394; script is available in Supporting Information File 1). Eventually, to visualize data, we transformed extracted protein‐coding genes into STRING database to construct protein–protein interactions (Szklarczyk et al., 2014). Then, STRING database output was transferred to Cytoscape 3.6.0 network visualization software (Shannon et al., 2003; RRID:SCR_003032).

2.3 | Human subject blood sample collections

Patients attended at Alzahra Hospital, Isfahan, Iran were included in the study. MS was diagnosed according to the revised McDonald criteria and patients were also characterized by a neurologist based on the Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS), a method for calculating and understanding disability in MS patients. Approximately 10 ml blood samples were obtained from each participant and stored in ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid contained tubes. Patients $(n = 50)$ were divided into 25 subjects with relapsing phase who recently were diagnosed, and 25 at the remitting phase who were treated with IFN‐β. To minimize the effect of IFN‐β, remitting patients were requested to donate blood samples before next dose intake. Relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS) patients did not show other CNS pathologic conditions other than MS, as well as infection, tumor(s) and systemic hematologic diseases, and they were not supplemented with any antineoplastic or immunomodulating drug before experiments. Moreover, 25 blood samples were obtained from age/gender‐matched healthy individuals with no sign of immune‐related diseases and who were taking no medications. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated from blood samples through density gradient lymphoprep procedure (Stemcell Technologies, Vancouver, Canada). Human subject work was approved by Institutional Review Board of Royan Institute (Project Id. No. 91000573). All protocols and procedures were

TABLE 1 Patients' clinical and demographic data

Note. EDSS: expanded disability status scale; SD: standard deviation.

checked and prepared in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations provided to use human specimens. Written informed consents were obtained from all individuals according to the guidance from the Institutional Review Board of Royan Institute.

2.4 | RNA extraction and complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis

Total RNA was extracted from samples in accordance with the TRIzol reagent manufacturer's supplied instruction (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). RNA concentration and purity were assessed using Nanodrop spectrophotometer (Nanodrop 1000; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). RNase‐free DNase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) treatment was used to eliminate possible DNA contamination. Isolated RNA was reverse‐transcribed into cDNA using RevertAid First

Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). cDNA samples were stored at −80 °C until used.

2.5 | Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT‐qPCR)

RT‐qPCR for mRNAs was performed using SYBR Green Master Mix: SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (TaKaRa, Kusatsu, Shiga Prefecture, Japan) using specific primer pairs in Step One Plus Real‐Time PCR thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). RT‐qPCR experiments were conducted in triplicate. UBC and YWHAZ were used as the reference genes with the most stable expression accordance with the analysis of PBMC between controls, relapsing, and remitting patients (Oturai, Sondergaard, Bornsen, Sellebjerg, & Christensen, 2016). Primer sequences are listed in Table 2.

TABLE 2 Primer pairs used in this study

Primer Sequence 5′–3′ GC (%) 3′ΔG (kcal/mol) Amplicon size (bp) Ta (°C) Long noncoding RNAs IFNG‐AS1‐001 F ACATACTTCCACCAGAGA 44.4 −6.2 143 52 TTCCACAACACTATCAACT 36.8 −6.3 IFNG‐AS1‐002 F GGAGGAGAAGTCAGTAGC 55.6 −6.6 101 52 IFNG‐AS1‐002 R AGCCAAGGGAACACATAC 50.0 −5.4 IFNG‐AS1‐003 F CCAGCACCATAATTCCAG 50.0 −6.9 116 50 IFNG‐AS1‐003 R GAAGACATGAGCACTGAC 50.0 −6.6 AC007278.2 F ATCATCTGTATGCTGTCTAAC 38.1 −5.4 121 60 AC007278.2 R AACCATATAATGAGGCTGTC 40.0 −6.6 AC007278.3 F TTGAAGAGGAGATTAAGTATTAGG 33.3 −5.7 127 58 AC007278.3 R TCGATCATCTTCACATTCACATC 39.1 −6.2 Protein‐coding genes IFN‐‐γ F GTTCTCTTGGCTGTTACTG 47.4 −5.8 96 52 IFN--γ R CATTATCCGCTACATCTGAAT IL18R1 F GAGAAACATTTTGGGTATAAGT-TATG 30.8 −5.0 90 52 IL18R1 R CTCTATCAGTGAGTGGATTTC 42.9 −5.3 IL18RAP F AACACTCTACTCTGGCAAA 42.1 −6.8 119 52 IL18RAP R ATCCTTTAATTCGCTCTCCT 40 −6.7 Reference genes UBC F GGATTTGGGTCGCAGTTCTTG 52.4 −6.1 135 58 UBC R TGCCTTGACATTCTCGATGG 50 −6.5 YWHAZ F ACTTTTGGTACATTGTGGCTTC 40.9 −6.9 94 94 62 YWHAZ R CCGCCAGGACAAACCAGTA 57.9 −5.8

Note. F and R represent forward and reverse primers respectively. 3′ΔG stands for the Gibbs energy for binding of 3′ part of primer with the respective template. Ta indicates annealing temperature of PCR reactions.

FIGURE 1 Genes involved in Th1 cell differentiation and associated lncRNAs. Th1 cell differentiation genes were retrieved by data mining as elucidated in Section 2. Protein‐coding gene associations with lncRNA genes were assigned based on their proximities using a Python algorithm (see supplemental information). Designated lncRNAs (outer ring of circles) within proximity of connected coding genes (inner network of smaller circles) are outlined in blue and connected with solid lines. lncRNA: long noncoding RNAs [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

2.6 | Statistical analysis

Data normality was checked by Shapiro‐Wilk test and were assessed by two-tailed Student's t-test and one-way analysis of variance to evaluate the differences followed by pairwise comparisons using Tukey's correction. LncRNA‐mRNA coexpression analysis was performed using Pearson correlation coefficient. SPSS 20 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL) and GraphPad Prism (version 6; GraphPad software, California corporation, CA) were used for statistical analyses. All values are represented as mean \pm SEM and $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Co‐LncRNA web site was also

used to determine an overview of relevant pathways of coexpressed protein‐coding genes with selected lncRNAs (Zhao et al., 2015).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Identification of Th1-specific lncRNAs and genes

First, we selected 84 Th1 cell differentiation genes, and then categorized them based on their signaling pathway involvements

FIGURE 2 Chromosomal organization of genes and lncRNA clusters. Idiograms of chromosome 12 (top) and chromosome 2 (bottom), with the relevant regions marked in red and expanded diagrammatically below each idiogram. Protein‐coding genes are drawn in blue and lncRNAs are green; exons are represented as solid boxed lines, and introns are barbed lines that indicate the direction of transcription. IFNG is located inside an intron of IFNG‐AS1‐001, while its distance from IFNG‐AS1‐002 and IFNG‐AS1‐003 is more than 100 kbp. On the other hand, AC007278.2 and AC007278.3 are located within the introns of IL18RAP and IL18R1. Note different sized scale bars for top and bottom diagrams. Chromosomal locations of the genes and lncRNA were obtained from UCSC genome browser at [https://genome.ucsc.edu/.](https://genome.ucsc.edu/) IL18R1: interleukin 18 receptor 1; lncRNA: long noncoding RNAs [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

FIGURE 3 Expression level analyses of AC007278.2, AC007278.3, and associated genes. Bar-plots of the expression level of lncRNA genes in MS and control samples were acquired by RT‐qPCR and values given as mean normalized expression relative to UBC and YWHAZ. Stars indicate significant differences (* = p < 0.05). See Section 2 for statistical methods used. IncRNA: long noncoding RNAs; MS: multiple sclerosis; RT‐qPCR: quantitative real‐time polymerase chain reaction

FIGURE 4 Correlation analyses between the expression of lncRNAs and the genes. Correlation between AC007278.2, AC007278.3, and respective protein‐coding genes based on Pearson's correlation analysis. p value < 0.001 obtained for all tests. Positive correlations were found for all gene comparisons, and R values for each correlation is shown. lncRNA: long noncoding RNAs

through a vast data mining experiment (see Section 2; Figure 1). Previously, 34 Th1 lineage‐specific lncRNAs were identified by Spurlock et al. (2015), and this study is consistent with those findings and expands the list of Th1‐specific genes.

3.2 | LncRNA‐protein‐coding gene proximity

Chromosomal proximity between specified lncRNAs and protein‐ coding genes was identified using a program we developed using python programming language. Three Th1 lineage‐specific lncRNAs

(AC007278.2, AC007278.3, and IFNG‐AS1) were identified from 34 candidate lncRNAs that were located proximal to protein‐coding genes involved in Th1 cell differentiation (IL18RAP, IL18R1, and IFNG; Figure 1,2).

3.3 | Patient characteristics

The demographics, clinical features, and EDSS scores and main medical therapy of 50 MS patients and 25 healthy controls are

FIGURE 5 Expression of IFNG-AS1 transcripts and respective genes. Bar-plot of the differential expression levels of lncRNA genes in relapsing and control samples. Values are given as mean normalized expression relative to UBC and YWHAZ. Stars indicate significant differences (p < 0.05, $* p$ < 0.01 and $** p$ < 0.001). IncRNA: long noncoding RNAs

summarized in Table 1. Statistical analyses showed no significant differences between each sample group.

3.4 | Expression levels of AC007278.2 and AC007278.3 in relapsing‐remitting patients and their correlation with IL18R1 and IL18RAP

To examine lncRNAs levels in PBMC, we performed quantitative real‐time PCR detection of AC007278.2 and AC007278.3 in 50 MS patients including 25 in relapsing phase, 25 in remitting phase, and 25 matched healthy controls. Levels of AC007278.2 lncRNA were significantly higher in the PBMC of the relapsing phase group compared with the healthy controls ($p = 0.025$; Figure 3), while there was no significant difference in the expression levels of AC007278.3. Given the potential cis‐regulatory roles of these lncRNAs on the protein‐coding genes IL18R1 and IL18RAP, IL18R1 and IL18RAP levels were also measured. However, the levels of IL18R1 and IL18RAP were not significantly different between the MS and control groups. Remarkably, significant correlations were observed among expression of both protein‐coding genes and their associated lncRNA (Figure 4).

3.5 | Significant changes in the expression level of IFNG‐AS1‐001 transcript in MS patients and correlation with IFNG

IFN‐γ, which is produced by Th1 cells and triggers demyelination of CNS lesions in MS patients, is a therapeutic target to inhibit the progress of MS. To eliminate bias in our study, remitting patients who received IFN‐β were excluded from statistical analysis as IFN‐β can modulate the expression of IFNG. Hence, only relapsing phase patients were compared with the controls.

In addition, the levels of expression of three alternative IFNG‐AS1 transcripts was measured by RT‐qPCR in the MS and control groups. IFNG‐AS1‐001 levels were higher in the PBMC of the MS relapsing phase compare to the healthy controls ($p = 0.022$). In contrast, IFNG-AS1–003 and IFNG‐AS1–002 showed no significant difference between relapsing and control groups (Figure 5). On the other hand, IFNG‐ AS1.003 appeared to be upregulated in the remitting phase group relative to the relapsing phase group (Figure S1).

Considering the potential cis‐regulatory function of IFNG‐AS1 on neighboring IFNG, we evaluated the expression level of IFNG, and found it was upregulated in relapsing phase patients compared with the controls ($p < 0.001$). The qPCR results indicated a

positive correlation between IFNG and IFNG‐AS1‐001 expression (Figure 6).

4 | DISCUSSION

Recent findings have demonstrated important roles for lncRNAs in the regulation of immune functions, elevating the diagnostic and therapeutic potential for this large class of genes (Atianand, Caffrey, & Fitzgerald, 2017; Carpenter et al., 2013; Hu et al., 2013; Ranzani et al., 2015; Sigdel, Cheng, Wang, Duan, & Zhang, 2015; Valadkhan & Plasek, 2018; Wu et al., 2015; Yang, Wu, Zhang, & Ni, 2018). We have identified lncRNAs with potential involvement in MS pathogenesis by implementing a new approach: identification of lncRNA genes with unique expression in the Th1 cell lineage and which are located proximal to protein‐coding genes involved in Th1 cell function. Hence, these lncRNAs have high potential for association with MS pathogenesis through their physical association with protein‐coding genes in Th1 cells, a key cell lineage with involvement at the primary stages of MS. Furthermore, we found that expression of two lncRNAs correlated significantly with neighboring mRNAs in MS patients compared with controls. One lncRNA correlated with the expression of IL18R1 and IL18RAP, and another correlated with IFNG in association with MS disease.

Previous studies linked expression of IL18R1 and IL18RAP as early biomarkers of MS and as promoters of Th1 cell development (Gutcher, Urich, Wolter, Prinz, & Becher, 2006; Yu, Chang, Ahyi, & Kaplan, 2008). We found that AC007278.2 and AC007278.3 lncRNAs were significantly higher in relapsing phase compared with the healthy controls and correlated positively with both IL18R1 and IL18RAP. These correlations could demonstrate the possible regional control of lncRNAs in conjunction with their neighboring protein‐ coding genes.

Previous studies demonstrated associations between IFNG‐AS1 and various disorders including its upregulation in ulcerative colitis (Padua et al., 2016), primary immune thrombocytopenia (Li et al., 2016), and Sjögren's syndrome (Wang et al., 2016). IFN‐γ plays a pathological role by triggering demyelination of lesions in the CNS of

FIGURE 6 Correlation analysis between IFNG-AS1-001 and IFNG. Positive correlation between IFNG‐AS1‐001 and IFNG expression based on Pearson's correlation analysis, $p = 0.02$

MS patients (Olsson, 1992), and thus a potential therapy for MS is suppression of IFN‐γ. Moreover, a study on IFNG‐AS1 demonstrated its regulatory role on the expression of IFNG (Peng et al., 2015), making this lncRNA a potential target for MS therapy.

In this study, we evaluated three alternative transcripts of IFNG‐ AS1. As depicted in Figure 2, the genomic locations of IFNG‐AS1– 003 and IFNG‐AS1–002 were not within near proximity (50 kb) of IFNG. Accordingly, expression of these two isoforms of IFNG‐AS1 did not correlate with IFNG. In contrast, our experimental results indicated that only expression of IFNG‐AS1‐001, which is within 50 kb of IFNG, was correlated with IFNG. These results suggest that only the IFNG‐AS1‐001 isoform may be involved in Th1 cell through regulation of IFNG.

Possible roles for AC007278.2, AC007278.3, and IFNG‐AS1 in signaling pathways was determined using co-lncRNA (bio-bigdata.hrbmu.edu.cn/Co‐LncRNA), and their related protein‐coding genes in signaling pathways were determined using Enrichr (amp. pharm.mssm.edu/Enrichr/) databases. These analyses indicated their involvement in multiple signaling pathways (Figures 7 and S2). Several autoimmune and other immune‐related disorders are among these pathways.

FIGURE 7 Enrichment pathway analysis. Top 20 pathways for AC007278.2, AC007278.3, and IFNG-AS1 lncRNAs coexpressed genes based on KEGG pathways analysis of co‐lncRNA. The most significant value (with less p value) is at the bottom of the graph. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; lncRNA: long noncoding RNAs

5 | CONCLUSIONS

Here we identified lncRNAs linked to MS in Th1 cells. The lncRNAs we identified are physically associated with protein‐coding genes that themselves are associated with Th1 cell function and MS progression. The lncRNAs identified in this study are potential biomarkers for detection of MS and, because their expression may regulate the associated protein‐coding genes, are potential targets for MS therapy. Moreover, the approach used here could be used to identify other lncRNA‐protein‐coding gene associations in other autoimmune diseases associated with specific cell types.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A part of this project was supported by the National Institute for Medical Research Development (NIMAD's project no. 942792) and also by the Royan Institute for Biotechnology to KG.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that there are no conflict of interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

A.H.: Designing research studies, conducting experiments, acquiring data, analyzing data, preparing reagents, and writing the manuscript. S.T.: Designing research studies, conducting experiments, acquiring data, analyzing data, preparing reagents, and writing the manuscript. M.E.: Acquiring data, analyzing data, and writing the manuscript. S.M.M.R.: Acquiring data, analyzing data, and writing the manuscript. K.G.: Designing research studies, data interpretation, manuscript writing, and final approval of the manuscript. T.L.M.: Designing research studies, data interpretation, manuscript writing, and final approval of the manuscript.M.E.: Acquiring data, data interpretation, manuscript writing, and final approval of the manuscript. M.H.N.E.: Designing research studies, data interpretation, manuscript writing, and final approval of the manuscript.

DATA ACCESSIBILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

- Atianand, M. K., Caffrey, D. R., & Fitzgerald, K. A. (2017). Immunobiology of long noncoding RNAs. Annual Review of Immunology, 35, 177–198.
- Ayers, D. (2013). Long non‐coding RNAs: Novel emergent biomarkers for cancer diagnostics. Journal of Cancer Research and Treatment, 1(2), 31–35.
- Carpenter, S., Aiello, D., Atianand, M. K., Ricci, E. P., Gandhi, P., Hall, L. L., … Lawrence, J. B. (2013). A long noncoding RNA mediates both activation and repression of immune response genes. Science, 341(6147), 789–792.

Cheetham, S., Gruhl, F., Mattick, J., & Dinger, M. (2013). Long noncoding RNAs and the genetics of cancer. British Journal of Cancer, 108(12), 2419–2425.

- Christie, D., & Zhu, J. (2014). Transcriptional regulatory networks for CD4 T cell differentiation, Transcriptional Control of Lineage Differentiation in Immune Cells (125–172). Springer.
- Geisler, S., & Coller, J. (2013). RNA in unexpected places: Long non‐coding RNA functions in diverse cellular contexts. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology, 14(11), 699–712.
- Gracie, J. A., Robertson, S. E., & McInnes, I. B. (2003). Interleukin‐18. Journal of Leukocyte Biology, 73(2), 213–224.
- Gutcher, I., Urich, E., Wolter, K., Prinz, M., & Becher, B. (2006). Interleukin 18–independent engagement of interleukin 18 receptor‐α is required for autoimmune inflammation. Nature Immunology, 7(9), 946–953.
- Hu, G., Tang, Q., Sharma, S., Yu, F., Escobar, T. M., Muljo, S. A., … Zhao, K. (2013). Expression and regulation of intergenic long noncoding RNAs during T cell development and differentiation. Nature Immunology, 14(11), 1190–1198.
- Lee, J. T. (2012). Epigenetic regulation by long noncoding RNAs. Science, 338(6113), 1435–1439.
- Li, H., Hao, Y., Zhang, D., Fu, R., Liu, W., Zhang, X., … Yang, R. (2016). Aberrant expression of long noncoding RNA TMEVPG1 in patients with primary immune thrombocytopenia. Autoimmunity, 49(7), 496–502.
- Ma, L., Bajic, V. B., & Zhang, Z. (2013). On the classification of long non‐ coding RNAs. RNA Biology, 10(6), 924–933.
- Nagano, T., & Fraser, P. (2011). No‐nonsense functions for long noncoding RNAs. Cell, 145(2), 178–181.
- Nakanishi, K., Yoshimoto, T., Tsutsui, H., & Okamura, H. (2001). Interleukin‐18 is a unique cytokine that stimulates both Th1 and Th2 responses depending on its cytokine milieu. Cytokine and Growth Factor Reviews, 12(1), 53–72.
- O'Connor, R. A., Prendergast, C. T., Sabatos, C. A., Lau, C. W., Leech, M. D., Wraith, D. C., & Anderton, S. M. (2008). Cutting edge: Th1 cells facilitate the entry of Th17 cells to the central nervous system during experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. The Journal of Immunology, 181(6), 3750–3754.
- Olsson, T. (1992). Cytokines in neuroinflammatory disease: Role of myelin autoreactive T cell production of interferon‐gamma. Journal of Neuroimmunology, 40(2), 211–218.
- Oturai, D. B., Sondergaard, H. B., Bornsen, L., Sellebjerg, F., & Christensen, J. R. (2016). Identification of suitable reference genes for peripheral blood mononuclear cell subset studies in multiple sclerosis. Scandinavian Journal of Immunology, 83(1), 72–80.
- Padua, D., Mahurkar‐Joshi, S., Law, I. K. M., Polytarchou, C., Vu, J. P., Pisegna, J. R., … Pothoulakis, C. (2016). A long noncoding RNA signature for ulcerative colitis identifies IFNG‐AS1 as an enhancer of inflammation. American Journal of Physiology‐Gastrointestinal and Liver Physiology, 311(3), G446–G457.
- Peng, H., Liu, Y., Tian, J., Ma, J., Tang, X., Rui, K., … Xu, H. (2015). The long noncoding RNA IFNG‐AS1 promotes T helper type 1 cells response in patients with Hashimoto's thyroiditis. Scientific Reports, 5, 17702..
- Qi, P., & Du, X. (2013). The long non‐coding RNAs, a new cancer diagnostic and therapeutic gold mine. Modern Pathology, 26(2), 155–165.
- Ransohoff, R. M., Hafler, D. A., & Lucchinetti, C. F. (2015). Multiple sclerosis—A quiet revolution. Nature Reviews Neurology, 11(3), 134–142.
- Ranzani, V., Rossetti, G., Panzeri, I., Arrigoni, A., Bonnal, R. J., Curti, S., … Marconi, M. (2015). The long intergenic noncoding RNA landscape of human lymphocytes highlights the regulation of T cell differentiation by linc‐MAF‐4. Nature Immunology, 16(3), 318–325.
- Revel, M., Chebath, J., Mangelus, M., Harroch, S., & Moviglia, G. (1995). Antagonism of interferon beta on interferon gamma: Inhibition of signal transduction in vitro and reduction of serum levels in multiple sclerosis patients. Multiple Sclerosis, 1, S5–S11.
- Sareneva, T., Julkunen, I., & Matikainen, S. (2000). IFN‐α and IL‐12 induce IL‐18 receptor gene expression in human NK and T cells. The Journal of Immunology, 165(4), 1933–1938.
- Shannon, P., Markiel, A., Ozier, O., Baliga, N. S., Wang, J. T., Ramage, D., … Ideker, T. (2003). Cytoscape: A software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. Genome Research, 13(11), 2498–2504.
- Sigdel, K. R., Cheng, A., Wang, Y., Duan, L., & Zhang, Y. (2015). The emerging functions of long noncoding RNA in immune cells: Autoimmune diseases. Journal of Immunology Research, 2015, 1–9.
- Spurlock, C. F., 3rd, Tossberg, J. T., Guo, Y., Collier, S. P., Crooke, P. S., 3rd, & Aune, T. M. (2015). Expression and functions of long noncoding RNAs during human T helper cell differentiation. Nature Communications, 6, 6932.
- Stromnes, I. M., Cerretti, L. M., Liggitt, D., Harris, R. A., & Goverman, J. M. (2008). Differential regulation of central nervous system autoimmunity by T H 1 and T H 17 cells. Nature Medicine, 14(3), 337–342.
- Szabo, S. J., Dighe, A. S., Gubler, U., & Murphy, K. M. (1997). Regulation of the interleukin (IL)‐12R β2 subunit expression in developing T helper 1 (Th1) and Th2 cells. Journal of Experimental Medicine, 185(5), 817–824.
- Szklarczyk, D., Franceschini, A., Wyder, S., Forslund, K., Heller, D., Huerta‐ Cepas, J., … Tsafou, K. P. (2014). STRING v10: Protein–protein interaction networks, integrated over the tree of life. Nucleic Acids Research, 43(D1), D447–D452.
- Teimuri, S., Hosseini, A., Rezaenasab, A., Ghaedi, K., Ghoveud, E., Etemadifar, M., … Megraw, T. L. (2018). Integrative analysis of lncRNAs in Th17 cell lineage to discover new potential biomarkers and therapeutic targets in autoimmune diseases.. Molecular Therapy ‐ Nucleic Acids, 12, 393–404.
- Tong, Y.‐K., & Lo, Y. D. (2006). Diagnostic developments involving cell‐free (circulating) nucleic acids. Clinica Chimica Acta, 363(1), 187–196.
- Valadkhan, S., & Plasek, L. M. (2018). Long Non‐Coding RNA‐Mediated Regulation of the Interferon Response: A New Perspective on a Familiar Theme. Pathogens & immunity, 3(1), 126–148.
- Wang, J., Peng, H., Tian, J., Ma, J., Tang, X., Rui, K., … Lu, L. (2016). Upregulation of long noncoding RNA TMEVPG1 enhances T helper

type 1 cell response in patients with Sjögren syndrome. Immunologic Research, 64(2), 489–496.

- Wu, G.‐C., Pan, H.‐F., Leng, R.‐X., Wang, D.‐G., Li, X.‐P., Li, X.‐M., & Ye, D.‐Q. (2015). Emerging role of long noncoding RNAs in autoimmune diseases. Autoimmunity Reviews, 14(9), 798–805.
- Yang, X., Wu, Y., Zhang, B., & Ni, B. (2018). Noncoding RNAs in multiple sclerosis. Clinical Epigenetics, 10(1), 149.
- Yoshimoto, T., Takeda, K., Tanaka, T., Ohkusu, K., Kashiwamura, S.‐I, Okamura, H., … Nakanishi, K. (1998). IL‐12 up‐regulates IL‐18 receptor expression on T cells, Th1 cells, and B cells: Synergism with IL-18 for IFN-γ production. The Journal of Immunology, 161(7), 3400–3407.
- Yu, Q., Chang, H.‐C., Ahyi, A.‐N. N., & Kaplan, M. H. (2008). Transcription factor‐dependent chromatin remodeling of Il18r1 during Th1 and Th2 differentiation. The Journal of Immunology, 181(5), 3346–3352.
- Zhao, Z., Bai, J., Wu, A., Wang, Y., Zhang, J., Wang, Z., … Li, X. (2015). Co‐ LncRNA: Investigating the lncRNA combinatorial effects in GO annotations and KEGG pathways based on human RNA‐Seq data. Database, 2015, bav082.
- Zhu, J., Yamane, H., & Paul, W. E. (2009). Differentiation of effector CD4 T cell populations. Annual Review of Immunology, 28, 445–489.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

How to cite this article: Hosseini A, Teimuri S, Ehsani M, et al. LncRNAs associated with multiple sclerosis expressed in the Th1 cell lineage. J Cell Physiol. 2019;1–10.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.28779>