## **Review Article**

# Pharmacological and Nonpharmacological Studies on Coronavirus Disease 2019: A Mini-review of the Recent Evidence

Amir Hossein Alizadeh Bahmani<sup>1</sup>, Mehdi Hoorang<sup>1</sup>, Sheida Hosseini<sup>1</sup>, Mehrnoosh Eskandari<sup>1</sup>, Kiana Shayestehfard<sup>2</sup>, Mahyar Shekoohi<sup>1</sup>, Nazafarin Hatami-Mazinani<sup>3</sup>, Saba Afifi<sup>1</sup>, Ali Mohammad Sabzghabaee<sup>4</sup>, Payam Peymani<sup>1,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Health Policy Research Center, Institute of Health, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran <sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran <sup>3</sup>Department of Clinical Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran <sup>4</sup>Isfahan Clinical Toxicology Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran <sup>5</sup>Department of Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Zürich, Switzerland

> **Received:** 09-09-2020. **Accepted:** 15-10-2020. **Published:** \*\*\*.

INTRODUCTION

**Objective:** Coronavirus 19 (COVID-19) is an extremely transmittable microbial infection that has emerged in Wuhan (China) in late 2019, leading to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 syndrome, and caused a pandemic all over the globe. Methods: This study is a systematic review of all 927 clinical trial studies performed worldwide from the beginning of the COVID-19 mysterious pandemic in China. These researches have registered in different databases. Findings: According to the best of our knowledge, China (74.82%), the United States (4.49%), and France (2.72%) have the most significant number of clinical trials, respectively. Clinical trials can be randomized or nonrandomized. Due to our results, 32.58% of studies were randomized, and 7.12% were not randomized. Most of the studies were open-labeled studies (22.44%), and double-blinded (4.42%) and quadruple blinded (2.48%) studies stand in second and third place regarding the number of trials, respectively. Conclusion: The direction and quantity of clinical trials attempted to identify a possible cure for COVID-19 demonstrates the depth of this crisis. As we are writing this article, a significant international endeavor will find a cure or vaccine for containing this devastating and mysterious disease.

**Keywords:** 2019-novel coronavirus, clinical trials, coronavirus, coronavirus 19, outcomes, review, severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2

## **7**<sup>n</sup> December 2019, the World Health Organization (WHO) got a red alert with a cluster of pneumonia cases of unknown causes observed in Wuhan, Hubei Province, People's Republic of China.<sup>[1]</sup> Based on the results of the analysis of respiratory samples, the China Center for Disease Control experts affirmed that this outbreak is related to a novel coronavirus (nCoV).<sup>[2]</sup> On February 11, 2020, the International Committee on Virus Taxonomy identified the new virus (severe acute respiratory syndrome

Access this article online			
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.jrpp.net		
	DOI: 10.4103/jrpp.JRPP_20_71		

coronavirus 2 [SARS-CoV-2]).<sup>[3]</sup> The WHO officially named the disease coronavirus 19 (COVID-19).<sup>[1]</sup> During the past two decades, several deadly epidemics affected human populations. Ghastly, two of those epidemics triggered by novel HCoV, in 2002 SARS

> Address for correspondence: Dr. Payam Peymani, E-mail: peymani.payam@gmail.com, peymanip@sums.ac.ir

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow\_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

**How to cite this article:** Bahmani AH, Hoorang M, Hosseini S, Eskandari M, Shayestehfard K, Shekoohi M, *et al.* Pharmacological and nonpharmacological studies on coronavirus disease 2019: A mini-review of the recent evidence. J Res Pharm Pract 2020;XX:XX-XX.

known as (SARS-CoV) and in 2012, Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS-CoV) have contributed to global outbreaks.<sup>[4]</sup> The third and most recent one is COVID-19, which has a potent transmission rate.<sup>[2]</sup>

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

Conferring to the latest reports released by the WHO in September 2020, over 27 million confirmed cases of COVID-19 and total death of roughly a million people worldwide. WHO quantified the global level of risk assessment as very high up to now, there are no approved specific therapies for the treatment or prevention of COVID-19. Treatment protocols are just symptomatic and using anti-viral agents like Remdesivir (on the May 1 approved by the Food and Drug Administration [FDA]) to curtail the disease period in those who are seriously ill. As the projected number of cases predicted to rise significantly, the demand for the treatment also arises. Among potential therapeutic approaches, convalescent plasma, interferon-based therapies, anti-interleukin 6 (IL6) monoclonal antibodies (mAbs), cell-based therapies, and small molecules can be mentioned.<sup>[5]</sup> However, a standard drug therapy development takes too long to be a treatment of choice in the current global emergency.<sup>[6]</sup> Repurposing existing drugs could reduce the cost and time to find a promising cure compared to INDs applications.

Moreover, this therapeutic approach is much accessible and safe.<sup>[7]</sup> Several research groups are working on a variety of preventative and therapeutic interventions globally.<sup>[6]</sup> Several strategies for containing or combating the emergence of 2019-nCoV infections can be proposed, but the development of new treatments will presumably take months or even years.

#### Convalescent plasma

Patients recovering from the infections have long-lasting antibodies produced by their immune system. The plasma is collected, appropriately examined, and washed to extract specific immunoglobulin G (IgGs) that could be used as a potential drug. Deployment of extracted IgGs as treatment provides "passive immune" until the patient's immune system could produce enough antibodies. This "plasma-derived treatment" is also known as convalescent plasma. Convalescent plasma or IgG immunoglobulins is an old method used in infectious disease guidelines, another possible alternative treatment for COVID. In both SARS and MERS, salvage therapy protocols were reported for convalescent plasma. Several reports with different successfulness rates have been done, and currently, recent journal articles also assess several human mAbs to block the SARS-COV-2 with a specific epitope.[8-10]

## Hydroxychloroquine

2)

The prevention and management of malaria and chronic inflammatory illness, including systemic

lupus erythematosus and rheumatoid arthritis have a long-standing history of chloroquine usage. Chloroquine and much more hydroxychloroquine often prevent viral entry into cells *in vitro* by reversing the effect of enzymes used for glycosylation of host receptors. The effects of such agents are immunomodulatory by inhibiting the concentration of cytokines in the blood.<sup>[11,12]</sup>

## Corticosteroids

The use of corticosteroids in COVID-19 are promoted based on reducing the host's chronic inflammation due to IL-6 secretion in the lung, which may progress to acute lung damage and acute respiratory distress syndrome. Nevertheless, adverse effects, including the disrupted viral clearance and a higher likelihood of bacterial infection, can outweigh this benefit.<sup>[13,14]</sup> The observatory experiments in SARS patients and MERS demonstrate no connection between steroids use and enhanced survival (however, they have shown a link with slow viral clearance from the respiratory system and elevated risk of severe side effects of corticosteroids like avascular necrosis).<sup>[15,16]</sup>

#### **Monoclonal antibodies**

Another potential class of adjunctive treatment options for COVID-19 is mAbs against inflammatory cytokines or other aspects of the host immune system crisis. The explanation for their application could be that the underlying pathophysiology of severe organ injuries in the lungs and other bodies are sparked by a ramped-up immune response and cytokine release, or "cytokine storm."<sup>[17]</sup> IL-6 seems to be a primary cause of this dysfunctional inflammation based on an early randomized trial in China. Tocilizumab, siltuximab, and sarilumab are utilized in clinical studies, and they displayed varied results.<sup>[18]</sup>

#### **Anti-virals**

Remdesivir is a monophosphate prodrug, previously known as GS-5734. In a screening process for R.N.A. inhibitor antimicrobials, the agent was found to be active. It was designed by Gilead pharmaceutical company during the recent Ebola outbreak in Africa. In some countries, like the united states of America, it is an approved medication for the treatment of COVID-19 patients and was clinically illustrated to reduce the hospitalization period in patients who suffer from a severe form of the disease.<sup>[19,20]</sup>

#### Lopinavir/ritonavir

Lopinavir/ritonavir, inhibiting 3-chymotrypsin-like proteases, is an oral combination drug approved for the treatment of H.I.V. by the United States FDA, which has proven *in vitro* value in the treatment of other novel viral pathogens. Lopinavir/ritonavir

55

56

provides no successful reported trials until today. Clinical trials of this combination in some other viral diseases like MERS, SARS, and dengue fever were linked to lower deaths and intubation rates, but the study's observational nature stopped conclusions.<sup>[21]</sup> Reports of lopinavir/ritonavir usage for the treatment of COVID-19 are nonrandomized cohort studies. They include few case reports and small retrospective studies, making it difficult to ascertain the direct therapeutic effect of lopinavir/ritonavir. The latest randomized controlled trials have found about 50% of patients taking lopinavir/ritonavir suffer from side effects, whereas roughly 20% of those suffering from gastrointestinal adverse effects, and upon patient request, researchers have terminated their treatment. Another common side effect of this combination in COVID-19 experimental trials is the elevations of liver enzymes due to the observed hepatotoxicity of this combination.<sup>[22-24]</sup>

#### Nitazoxanide

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53 54

55

56

Nitazoxanide has notable anthelminthic and anti-viral activity and a favorable overall safety profile. However, it functions against MERS and SARS-CoV-2; more data are needed to confirm its effectiveness.<sup>[25]</sup>

#### Guanine analogs

Guanine analogs, such as ribavirin, inhibits RNA-dependant RNA-polymerase. Its interactions with other nCoVs genes have made it a candidate for therapy of COVID-19 patients, but higher doses are needed, and this may result in more side effects.<sup>[26]</sup>

#### Umifenovir

Umifenovir is by far better repurposed anti-viral agent targeting the S-protein/angiotensin -converting enzyme 2 interaction and inhibiting membrane fusion of the viral envelope. Some reports from China showed that the mentioned drug reduced the mortality rate. The problem with reported studies is that they were investigated on small groups of patients.

#### Oseltamivir

Oseltamivir, which has been approved for influenza therapy, is repurposed in clinical trials again. The first COVID-19 outbreak in China occurred in the peak influenza season, meaning that most patients received observational Oseltamivir medication before the discovery of SARS-CoV-2. According to our knowledge, some of the clinical trials currently underway include Oseltamivir, but none of them were successful until this day. If any of them were successful, the clinical trial was performed on a tiny population, and the published papers have low quality.

#### Favipiravir

Favipiravir is a purine nucleotide medication previously known as T-705, which was first approved in Japan. Favipiravir was found by the Toyama Chemical Co., Ltd., chemical library screening for anti-flu viral activity. The active form of favipiravir ribofuranosyl-5'-triphosphate (RTP), which is recognized by RdRp as a substrate material, is favipiravir RTP, which inhibits R. N. A. polymerase activity.<sup>[27-29]</sup>

#### Interferons

Interferon- $\alpha$  and- $\beta$  were tested against nCoVs as in 2012. Some trials on interferon- $\beta$  against MERS declared the efficacy of the drug. Delayed therapy will impair the effectiveness of such agents as potential treatment. According to clinical trials until today, interferons' use to treat SARS-CoV-2 cannot be recommended at this stage.

#### **Chinese traditional medicines**

Several traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) descriptions were used in 2003 to manage and treat SARS. China provided the TCM program for the management of H1N1 infections in 2009. Many clinical trials are progressing for the treatment of COVID-19 based on previous studies and also used as an empirical treatment during the COVID-19 pandemic. Among which, some performed very well clinically. However, utilizing Chinese herbal medicine against COVID-19's is based on reducing patients' severe symptoms. Used herbs are categorized based on the severity of symptoms in patients. For instance, a mixture known as Sangju vin (a mixture of mulberry and mint) has been used for mild symptoms. On the other hand, Baihegujin Tang (a mixture of Shudihuang, Xuanshen, and Beimu) has been utilized for managing severe symptoms.<sup>[30-32]</sup>

### **Methods**

In the status quo of the COVID-19 pandemic, an analysis of outcomes reporting from roughly a thousand globally registered clinical trials of COVID-19 has been conducted in this article. These trials were registered online on ClinicalTrials.gov, Chinese Clinical Trial Register, E.U. Clinical Trial Register, Iranian Registry of Clinical Trial, German Clinical Trials Register, Japan Primary Registries Network, Thai Clinical Trials Registry, ISRCTN, Netherlands Trial Register and ANZCTR. In the following review, we try to recapitulate the protocols and guidelines considered in clinical trials databases.

#### RESULTS

This article found 927 different protocols as clinical trial studies in 33 countries [Table 1], and multiple countries carried out some guidelines.

Countries	Count of	per each country Count of Percentage		
	study	of countries		
Australia	8	0.95		
Belgium	4	0.47		
Brazil	4	0.47		
Canada	9	1.06		
China	633	74.82		
Denmark	6	0.71		
France	23	2.72		
Germany	6	0.71		
Iran	10	1.18		
Italy	17	2.01		
Japan	4	0.47		
Mexico	4	0.47		
multi countries	20	2.36		
Norway	4	0.47		
Spain	7	0.83		
The Netherlands	11	1.30		
Turkey	3	0.35		
United Kingdom	13	1.54		
United States	38	4.49		
Switzerland, Sweden, Korea, Israel,	2	1.68		
Greece, Egypt, Colombia,				
Vietnam, French Guiana, Hong Kong,	1	1.08		
Ireland, Jordan, Pakistan, Romania,				
Singapore				
Grand total	846 of 927	100.00		

These researches have registered in different databases. The factors that were evaluated in these studies were: target size, population age, and recruitment status are other factors that were studied in this article. We also focus on the other elements, such as multiple types of randomization and blinding. Furthermore, different study types plus designs and clinical trial phases are included in our research. Most drugs or chemicals used in these studies were such as convalescent plasma, hydroxychloroquine, corticosteroids, mAbs, anti-virals, lopinavir/ritonavir, nitazoxanide, guanine analogs, umifenovir, oseltamivir, favipiravir, and interferons.

The most common similarities between different trials are repurposing of older medications, particularly in countries like China, that traditional medicine is focused on. Potential pharmacological guidelines of COVID-19 infection are drugs commonly used in handling SARS and MERS. Up to now, there is no significant benefit in any of the regimens that has been used for SARS and MERS therapy studies.<sup>[5,25,33,34]</sup>

#### DISCUSSION

COVID-19 is, in general, a new mysterious challenge for humankind. Up until now, there is no cure or vaccine, and the mortality rate is high. Hence, it seems vitally important to conduct more research on prevention or treatment options. Information shortage and workload on clinicians are some of the obstacles to further and higher quality research. Controversy in results is reasonable according to the different races, genetics, situations, and government policies. So systematic reviews of such studies are vulnerable to summarize the last attempts and help the next studies for a better design. It can also mark the scientific spaces for researchers. The COVID-19 pandemic represents this generation's most substantive global issue, possibly, since the 1918 flu outbreak. The considerable number of clinical trials attempted to identify a possible cure for COVID-19 shows the fundamental need for international collaboration more than ever before. To date, no treatments have been demonstrated to be effective even though some medications were successful as symptomatic therapy.

This study is a systematic review of all 927 clinical trial studies performed worldwide from the beginning of the COVID-19 mysterious pandemic in China. According to our results, most studies (74.82%) are presented in China, which seems logical due to starting the China outbreak. According to the best of our knowledge, China (74.82%), the United States (4.49%), France (2.72%) have the most significant number of clinical trials, respectively. Clinical trials can be Randomized or nonrandomized. Due to our results, 32.58% Of studies were randomized, and 7.12% were not randomized. According to our result, the number of randomized trials is superior to nonrandomized trials [Table 2].

This study is the first review that focuses on the percentage of blinding property of studies. According to our investigation, most of the studies were open-labeled studies (22.44%), and double-blinded (4.42%) and quadruple blinded (2.48%) studies stand in second and third place regarding the number of trials, respectively [Table 3]. However, Lythgoe and Middleton in their review, mentioned the blinding status of each study without statistical analysis.

This study is the first review that summarizes and calculate the type of research. According to our inquiry, 57.71% of studies were interventional studies. Observational studies with 34.73% contribution were in second place.

Most of the studies were in phase 0 (22.43%), Phase 4 (10.57%), 2 (7.55%), 3 (6.69%), 1 (4.74%) studies, respectively were in the next places. No other reviews evaluate this property.

According to our analysis of data, most studies have focused on hydroxychloroquine, an anti-malaria drug. This result aligns with the results of Lythgoe and

Table 2: Count of randomized clinical trials			
	Count of study	Percentage	
Nonrandomized	66	7.12	
Randomized	302	32.58	
Grand total	368 of 927	100	

Table 3: The number of blinded experiments sorted by					
types of the blinded experiment					
	Count of study	Percentage			
Blinded	3	0.32			

Dillucu	5	0.52
Double-blinded	41	4.42
Open-labeled	208	22.44
Quadruple-blinded	23	2.48
Single-blinded	21	2.27
Triple-blinded	11	1.19
Grand total	307 of 927	100

Middleton.<sup>[6]</sup> TCM and Routine treatment of Western Medicine stand in second place.

#### Limitations

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

There is a range of limitations to this review. Firstly, the massive quantity and elevated rate of submitted COVID-19 articles mean that this study's findings and guidelines are changing rapidly. Second, the articles were generally limited to papers or transcripts in English or a few translated international trials in other languages, and most of the clinical trials published in other languages are not included in this review.

#### Summary

Since late 2019, COVID-19 has been recognized as the biggest challenge of the present century. Numerous clinical studies have been performed on the structure and function of the virus to date, but unfortunately, no specific treatment has been introduced yet. Scientists around the world are simultaneously conducting several clinical and exploratory studies. According to the latest reports, this novel virus infected 27 million people around the world and has been responsible for the death of at least hardly a million lives. Convalescent plasma, hydroxychloroquine, corticosteroids, mAbs, and anti-viral drugs are investigated in the hope of finding a cure. Randomized and open-labeled trials have the most significant share of the trials and research up to date. According to scholars, there is no guarantee to find a cure or vaccine anytime soon. Our team decided to categorize the ongoing clinical trials according to the clinical trial types, and country-wise, we provided a statistic on the clinical trials per country.

## **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION**

All the authors contributed to the study, and individual involvement of authors was as follow:

All authors have participated in concepts and data acquisition. Amir Hossein Alizadeh Bahmani, Mehdi hoorang, Sheida Hosseini, Mehrnoosh Eskandari, Kiana Shayestehfard were designed, collected and analyzed data, and prepared and edited the manuscript. Hatami-Mazinani and Saba Afifi were Nazafarin contributed to literature searching and data collection. Ali Mohammad Sabzghabaee reviewed and approved the manuscript. Payam Peymani, as the corresponding author of this study, participated in all sections of the study and approved this manuscript for publication.

#### Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Center for Development of Clinical Research Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran and also and Ms. Golrokh Bahari, Montreal, Canada for editorial and linguistic editing assistance.

#### Financial support and sponsorship

This work was supported by grants from the Shiraz University of Medical Sciences Vice-chancellor of Research and Health Policy Research Center (Grant Number: 99-02-63-21929).

#### **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- Wang L, Wang Y, Ye D, Liu Q. Erratum to "A review of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) based on current evidence" [International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 55/6 (2020) 105948]. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2020;55:105948.
- Huang X, Wei F, Hu L, Wen L, Chen K. Epidemiology and clinical characteristics of COVID-19. Arch Iran Med 2020;23:268-71.
- 3. C.S.G. of the International. The species severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: Classifying 2019-nCoV and naming it SARS-CoV-2. Nat Microbiol 2020;5:536.
- 4. Paules CI, Marston HD, Fauci AS. Coronavirus infections-more than just the common cold. JAMA 2020;323:707-8.
- 5. Li G, De Clercq E. Therapeutic options for the 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). Nat Rev Drug Discov 2020;19:149-50.
- Lythgoe MP, Middleton P. Ongoing clinical trials for the management of the COVID-19 pandemic. Trends Pharmacol Sci 2020;41:363-82.
- 7. Zhou Y, Hou Y, Shen J, Huang Y, Martin W, Cheng F. Network-based drug repurposing for novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV/SARS-CoV-2. Cell Discov 2020;6:14.
- Mair-Jenkins J, Saavedra-Campos M, Baillie JK, Cleary P, Khaw FM, Lim WS, *et al.* The effectiveness of convalescent plasma and hyperimmune immunoglobulin for the treatment of severe acute respiratory infections of viral etiology: A systematic review and exploratory meta-analysis. J Infect Dis 2015;211:80-90.
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Investigational-Covid-19-Convalescent-Plasma-Emergency-inds. Available from: https:// www.fda gov/vaccines-blood. [Last accessed on 2020 Jun 1].
- Shen C, Wang Z, Zhao F, Yang Y, Li J, Yuan J, *et al.* Treatment of 5 critically III patients with COVID-19 with convalescent plasma. JAMA 2020;323:1582-9.

 Gao J, Tian Z, Yang X. Breakthrough: Chloroquine phosphate has shown apparent efficacy in treatment of COVID-19 associated pneumonia in clinical studies. Biosci Trends 2020;14:72-3.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45 46

47 48

49 50

51

52 53

54 55

56

6 )

- Chen J, Liu D, Liu L, Liu P, Xu L, Ling Y, *et al.* A pilot study of hydroxychloroquine in treatment of patients with common coronavirus disease-19 (COVID-19). J Zhejiang Univ 2020;49:215-9.
- Coleman CM, Sisk JM, Mingo RM, Nelson EA, White JM, Frieman MB. Abelson kinase inhibitors are potent inhibitors of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus and middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus fusion. J Virol 2016;90:8924-33.
- Russell CD, Millar JE, Baillie JK. Clinical evidence does not support corticosteroid treatment for 2019-nCoV lung injury. Lancet 2020;395:473-5.
- Ni YN, Chen G, Sun J, Liang BM, Liang ZA. The effect of corticosteroids on mortality of patients with influenza pneumonia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care 2019;23:99.
- Pfefferle S, Schöpf J, Kögl M, Friedel CC, Müller MA, Carbajo-Lozoya J, *et al.* The SARS-coronavirus-host interactome: Identification of cyclophilins as target for pan-coronavirus inhibitors. PLoS Pathog 2011;7:e1002331.
- Mehta P, McAuley DF, Brown M, Sanchez E, Tattersall RS, Manson JJ, *et al.* COVID-19: Consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. Lancet 2020;395:1033-4.
- Wang C, Li W, Drabek D, Okba NM, van Haperen R, Osterhaus AD, *et al.* A human monoclonal antibody blocking SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nat Commun 2020;11:1-6.
- 19. Wang M, Cao R, Zhang L, Yang X, Liu J, Xu M, *et al.* Remdesivir and chloroquine effectively inhibit the recently emerged novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) *in vitro*. Cell Res 2020;30:269-71.
- 20. World Health Organization. WHO R&D Blueprint–Ad-hoc Expert Consultation on Clinical Trials for Ebola Therapeutics; 2019.
- 21. Arabi YM, Mandourah Y, Al-Hameed F, Sindi AA, Almekhlafi GA, Hussein MA, *et al.* Corticosteroid therapy for critically ill patients with middle east respiratory syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2018;197:757-67.
- 22. Sheahan TP, Sims AC, Leist SR, Schäfer A, Won J, Brown AJ, et al. Comparative therapeutic efficacy of remdesivir and

combination lopinavir, ritonavir, and interferon beta against MERS-CoV. Nat Commun 2020;11:222.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46 47

48 49

50

51 52

53 54

55

56

- 23. Hayden FG, Shindo N. Influenza virus polymerase inhibitors in clinical development. Curr Opin Infect Dis 2019;32:176-86.
- Chan KS, Lai ST, Chu CM, Tsui E, Tam CY, Wong MM, et al. Treatment of severe acute respiratory syndrome with lopinavir/ ritonavir: A multicentre retrospective matched cohort study. Hong Kong Med J 2003;9:399-406.
- Rossignol JF. Nitazoxanide, a new drug candidate for the treatment of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. J Infect Public Health 2016;9:227-30.
- Arabi YM, Shalhoub S, Mandourah Y, Al-Hameed F, Al-Omari A, Al Qasim E, *et al.* Ribavirin and interferon therapy for critically ill patients with middle east respiratory syndrome: A multicenter observational study. Clin Infect Dis 2020;70:1837-44.
- 27. Mentré F, Taburet AM, Guedj J, Anglaret X, Keïta S, de Lamballerie X, *et al.* Dose regimen of favipiravir for Ebola virus disease. Lancet Infect Dis 2015;15:150-1.
- Chen C, Huang J, Cheng Z, Wu J, Chen S, Zhang Y, et al. Favipiravir versus arbidol for COVID-19: A randomized clinical trial. medRxiv 2020. doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.17.200 37432.
- 29. Kumagai Y, Murakawa Y, Hasunuma T, Aso M, Yuji W, Sakurai T, *et al.* Lack of effect of favipiravir, a novel anti-viral agent, on Q. T. interval in healthy Japanese adults. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther 2015;53:866-74.
- Chen Y, Liu Q, Guo D. Emerging coronaviruses: Genome structure, replication, and pathogenesis. J Med Virol 2020;92:418-23.
- Xu J, Zhang Y. Traditional Chinese Medicine treatment of COVID-19. Complement Ther Clin Pract 2020;39:101165.
- 32. National Health Commission. Translation: Diagnosis and treatment protocol for novel coronavirus pneumonia (trial version 7). Infect Microbes Dis 2020;2:48-54.
- Totura AL, Bavari S. Broad-spectrum coronavirus anti-viral drug discovery. Expert Opin Drug Discov 2019;14:397-412.
- 34. Yao TT, Qian JD, Zhu WY, Wang Y, Wang GQ. A systematic review of lopinavir therapy for SARS coronavirus and MERS coronavirus–A possible reference for coronavirus disease-19 treatment option. J Med Virol 2020;92:556-63.